IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA ATLANTA DIVISION

KASSONDRA JONES, on behalf of herself and those similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

V.

1:17-CV-01304-ELR

ANTICA POSTA RISTORANTE, INC. and MARCO BETTI,

Defendants.

*

ORDER

This matter is before the Court on the parties' Joint Motion to Approve Settlement Agreement between Plaintiff Kassondra Jones, putative opt-in claimant, Shawntha (Lisa) Cuylar, and Defendants. [Doc. 18]. Significantly, Plaintiffs' claims arise under the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA"), which was enacted in 1938 for the purpose of "protect[ing] all covered workers from substandard wages and oppressive working conditions." Christopher v. SmithKline Beecham Corp., 567 U.S. 142, 147 (2012) (quoting Barrentine v. Arkansas-Best Freight Sys., 450 U.S. 728, 739 (1981)) (internal quotation marks omitted). Taking into account the disparity of bargaining power that often exists between employers and their

employees, Congress made the FLSA's wage and hour limitations mandatory. Brooklyn Sav. Bank v. O'Neil, 324 U.S. 697, 706 (1945). Thus, employers are prohibited from negotiating agreements that require employees to waive certain minimum protections provided for by the FLSA. <u>Id.</u>

In this Circuit, courts have acknowledged that there are "only two ways in which back wage claims arising under the FLSA can be settled or compromised by employees." Lynn's Food Stores, Inc. v. United States, 679 F.2d 1350, 1352-53 (11th Cir. 1982). The first option, which is inapplicable to this case, involves actions taken by the Secretary of Labor. Id. at 1353. The second route, on the other hand, permits the presentation of a proposed settlement agreement to a district court when an employee brings a private action for back wages pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b). If, after scrutinizing the settlement, the district court determines that it "is a fair and reasonable resolution of a bona fide dispute of FLSA provisions[,]" the court may enter a stipulated judgment. Id. at 1355. In this context—when the employee is represented by counsel—there is some assurance that the employee's rights under the statute will be protected, and "the settlement is more likely to reflect a reasonable compromise of disputed issues than a mere waiver of statutory rights brought about by an employer's overreaching." Id. at 1354. To that end, the Court notes that Plaintiffs are represented by counsel in the present action.

Importantly, the parties' Settlement Agreement at issue is only between Plaintiff Kassondra Jones, Plaintiff Shawntha (Lisa) Cuylar, and Defendants. [Doc. 18 at 3]. After reviewing the parties' Joint Motion to Approve Settlement Agreement [Id.], the Court finds there is a bona fide dispute regarding claims arising under the FLSA, and the parties' Settlement Agreement is a fair and reasonable resolution to this action. Although Defendants deny Plaintiffs' allegations of wrongdoing, the parties engaged in an arms-length settlement negotiation and eventually agreed upon terms to resolve this dispute.

Accordingly, the Court **GRANTS** the parties' Joint Motion to Approve Settlement Agreement [Doc. 18] and **APPROVES** the parties' Settlement Agreement between Plaintiff Kassondra Jones, Plaintiff Shawntha (Lisa) Cuylar, and Defendants. Pursuant to the parties' Motion, the Court **DISMISSES WITH PREJUDICE** Plaintiff Kassondra Jones' and Plaintiff Shawntha (Lisa) Cuylar's claims.

SO ORDERED, this Z6th day of October, 2017.

Eleanor L. Ross

United States District Judge

Northern District of Georgia

¹ The parties assert the remaining opt-in claimants, Randolph Cobb and Karen Scott Yetunde, "will file a dismissal of their claims without prejudice." [Doc. 18 at 4]. Therefore, the Settlement Agreement at issue is not binding on opt-in claimants Randolph Cobb or Karen Scott Yetunde. The Court reminds the parties that "claims arising under the FLSA can be *settled or comprised* by employees" with approval by the district court. Lynn's Food Stores, Inc., 679 F.2d at 1352-53 (11th Cir. 1982) (emphasis added). To the extent that Plaintiffs Cobb and Yetunde "settled or comprised" with Defendants, the parties must seek the Court's approval.